Steering Committee BELMOD

29 October 2020

Importance of Administrative Data

Comparing the personal income tax in survey-based Euromod with an administrative data-based model for Belgium

A. Decoster, L. De Sadeleer, T. Vanheukelom and S. Van Houtven Department of Economics - KU Leuven

Preliminary version, do not cite without permission of the authors

1. introduction – research question

- increased access to and focus on administrative data
 - specialized data sources (e.g. finance and social security administrations)
 - cross-over between survey and administrative data (e.g. plans EU-SILC)
 - detailed population data
- but, hurdles (= costs) to increased use of administrative data
 - data access: application often more cumbersome, access conditions stringent
 - internal logic of data: administrative not economic, sociological etc.
 - dependent on current legislation
 - important variables for distributional or behavioral analyses missing (sociological hh, education level, etc.)
 - changing over time
 - large investment costs in MSM on administrative data (model modifications)
- question in this paper: what about the benefits?

To guide future decisions on investing in administrative data, we:

- elicit/quantify the benefits from moving to administrative data
- answer whether these benefits come from the data itself:
 - better (more complete) coverage of population (even when using a sample)
 → longer tails, data on hard-to-survey groups
 - no errors due to self-reporting (! 'errors' = deviation of admin reality)
- or whether these benefits come from the detail in the data:
 - income split in different components (= taxable bases)
 - => exact computation of taxes and benefits possible (! 'exact' = admin reality)
 - which necessitates an investment in a detailed MSM-model
- answer in the context of Belgian personal income tax (and only PIT)
 - comparison of two data-sources EM-SILC and IPCAL
 - using two microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI
 - for Flemish population (extension to Belgian population: under construction)



- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax
- 4. results
- 5. conclusions

2. methodology – decomposition analysis

- Use of two microsimulation models
 - EUROMOD
 - Pan-European tax-benefit model
 - Runs on EU-SILC
 - We will make use of Belgian personal income tax module
 - FANTASI
 - Developed and maintained by collaboration of Department of Economics KU Leuven and the Department of Finance and Budget of the Flemish government
 - Detailed model of the personal income tax
 - Runs on Belgian (for now only Flemish) administrative data from tax receipts

DBLIESWETENSCHAPPEN

2. methodology – decomposition analysis

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

KU LEUVEN





- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax
 - 1. EM-SILC & IPCAL
 - 2. Belgian personal income tax
- 4. results
- 5. conclusions

EM-SILC

- SILC: Survey on Income and Living Conditions
- UDB-SILC: Harmonized over European countries, and input-dataset for EUROMOD
- EM-SILC: The output (and input) of EUROMOD
- we use SILC 2018, with reported incomes of 2017, and simulated values from EUROMOD-year 2017, sample size: +/- 14 000
- Self-reported incomes
- IPCAL
 - <u>'Impôt des Personnes Physiques Cal</u>culé'
 - input for the microsimulation model for the personal income tax: FANTASI
 - income year 2017 (tax year 2018), sample (151000)
 - reported data from personal income tax forms
 - includes calculations from the administration of FPS Finances

DBLIESWETENSCHAPPEN

3.1 data: EM-SILC and IPCAL – income concept: GTI

- after social security contributions
- including professional expenses (if possible), consisting of
 - employment income
 - self-employment income
 - unemployment benefits
 - public pensions
 - early-retirement income
 - sickness and disability
 - private pensions
 - property income
 - investment income & income from financial assets
 - income from private transfers (mainly maintenance allowances)
- not perfectly comparable
 - some issues with isolating reported expenses
 - liberating withholding tax (income is not stated on tax forms)







KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

KU LEUVE

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

KU LEUVE

public old age pensions ■ IPCAL ■ SILC

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

Thousands

KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

KU LEUVEN

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN

frequency in thousands by socio-econ status

		Total	early retired	employee	manager	mixed	pensioned	residual	self- employed	unemployed
IPC	CAL	5327,1	56,6	2237,2	219,0	534,5	1269,2	782,9	141,4	86,4
SI	LC	5293,8	29,7	2270,2	195,7	287,1	1286,4	885,8	235,2	103,8

average income in thousands by socio-econ status

	Total	early retired	employee	manager	mixed	pensioned	residual	self- employed	unemployed
IPCAL	28,4	15,2	36,2	40,9	40,3	19,1	3,6	78,2	11,4
SILC	27,8	21,2	35,4	58,9	40,6	22,8	4,5	36,6	12,9

taxable income in millions by socio-econ status

	Total	early retired	employee	manager	mixed	pensioned	residual	self- employed	unemployed
IPCAL	151553,2	862,7	81051,9	8952,9	21545,7	24240,0	2855,4	11059,8	984,7
SILC	144066,8	619,8	78584,7	11290,1	11519,7	28822,2	3379,7	8537,2	1313,3

- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax
 - 1. EM-SILC & IPCAL
 - 2. Belgian personal income tax
- 4. results
- 5. conclusions

KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN

3.2 Belgian personal income tax

3.2 Belgian personal income tax

• only part of reductions & credits (not sufficient information in SILC)

KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

KU LEUVEN FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax
- 4. results
 - 1. taxes paid
 - 1. average taxes paid
 - 2. total taxes paid
 - 2. income after tax
 - 3. ATR
 - 4. summary statistics
 - 5. effect of a reform
- 5. conclusions

		less than		hatwaan 0	between	between	between	between	between	more than
model and data	total		zero	and 2Ek	25k and	50k and	75k and	100k and	125k and	
		2010			50k	75k	100k	125k	150k	TOOK
Fantasi - IPCAL (1)	5470,56	-109,60	164,48	901,22	6910,89	16179,29	24626,84	31196,23	37004,43	60589,21
Fan IPCAL w.o. prof. exp. (2)	6280,02	-96,84	176,73	933,60	7203,07	17816,26	29068,06	40261,43	52244,81	98907,42
an IPCAL with SILC-detail (3)	6960,06	-93,02	355,08	1659,03	8237,17	19721,88	32351,49	45391,99	58318,97	120960,86
Fantasi - EU-SILC (4)	6753,05	193,53	48,42	2328,08	8394,86	19510,14	32319,38	45579,93	59988 <i>,</i> 10	135314,34
Euromod - EU-SILC (5)	6539,04	0,00	41,04	1219,80	8180,56	19681,58	31847,40	44549,46	58290,60	129669,87
difference from moving to										
administrative data (1) - (5)	-1068,49	-109,60	123,44	-318,58	-1269,67	-3502,29	-7220,56	-13353,23	-21286,17	-69080,65
prof. expenses (1) - (2)	-809,46	-12,76	-12,25	-32,38	-292,18	-1636,97	-4441,22	-9065,19	-15240,38	-38318,20
other detail (2) - (3)	-680,04	-3,81	-178,35	-725,44	-1034,10	-1905,62	-3283,43	-5130,56	-6074,16	-22053,45
completeness (3) - (4)	207,01	-286,55	306,66	-669,05	-157,69	211,74	32,12	-187,94	-1669,13	-14353,47
mod. differences (4) - (5)	214,01	193,53	7,38	1108,29	214,30	-171,44	471,97	1030,47	1697,50	5644,47

nodel and data	total	younger than 21	between 21 and 40	between 41 and 60
tasi - IPCAL (1)	5470,56	164,94	5199,35	8252,19
. prof. exp. (2)	6280,02	187,98	5994,96	9625,90
SILC-detail (3)	6960,06	217,47	6751,49	10598,55
si - EU-SILC (4)	6753,05	145,18	6282,36	10048,44
od - EU-SILC (5)	6539,04	222,88	6742,93	10379,05

model and data	total
Fantasi - IPCAL (1)	5470,56
Fantasi - IPCAL w.o. prof. exp. (2)	6280,02
Fantasi - IPCAL with SILC-detail (3)	6960,06
Fantasi - EU-SILC (4)	6753,05
Euromod - EU-SILC (5)	6539,04

		-					
difference from moving to							
administrative data (1) - (5)	-1068,49		-57,94	-1543,58	-2126,86	-19,44	-189,54
professional expenses (1) - (2)	-809.46	Γ	-23.04	-795.60	-1373.70	-333.87	-15.39
other detail (2) - (3)	-680,04		-29,49	-756,54	-972,65	-198,73	-976,65

other detail (2) - (3)	-680,04	-29,49	-756,54	-972,65
completeness (3) - (4)	207,01	72,29	469,13	550,11
modelling differences (4) - (5)	214,01	-77,70	-460,57	-330,61

between

61 and 80

3428,49 3762,36

3961,09

5129,73

3447,93

-1168,64

1681,80

older than

81

1762,91

1778,30

2754,96

3824,92

1952,45

-1069,97

1872,47

4.1.1 decomposition – average taxes paid by income source (€/yr

model and data	total	early retired	employee	manager	mixed	pensioned	residual	self- employed	unempl.
Fantasi - IPCAL (1)	5470,56	1537,81	8244,90	11103,12	6879,44	2601,63	330,02	6624,86	52,09
Fantasi - IPCAL w.o. prof. exp. (2)	6280,02	1558,21	8279,96	11167,73	8712,55	2605,75	344,14	29410,29	56,94
Fantasi - IPCAL with SILC-detail (3)	6960,06	3192,33	9112,31	11320,40	9447,04	3489,56	669,20	30356,73	792,42
Fantasi - EU-SILC (4)	6753,05	4081,53	8152,48	17355,77	13925,20	4908,10	598,38	14045,44	1100,46
Euromod - EU-SILC (5)	6539,04	2157,21	9230,86	21299,08	11867,72	3020,06	306,36	9297,30	799,79
difference from moving to									
administrative data (1) - (5)	-1068,49	-619,40	-985,96	-10195,96	-4988,29	-418,43	23,66	-2672,44	-747,71
professional expenses (1) - (2)	-809,46	-20,40	-35,06	-64,61	-1833,12	-4,12	-14,13	-22785,42	-4,85
other detail (2) - (3)	-680,04	-1634,12	-832,35	-152,67	-734,49	-883,81	-325,05	-946,44	-735,48
completeness (3) - (4)	207,01	-889,19	959,83	-6035,37	-4478,16	-1418,54	70,82	16311,29	-308,04
modelling differences (4) - (5)	214,01	1924,32	-1078,38	-3943,31	2057,48	1888,04	292,02	4748,14	300,67

KU LEUVEN FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax
- 4. results
 - 1. taxes paid
 - 1. average taxes paid
 - 2. total taxes paid
 - 2. income after tax
 - 3. ATR
 - 4. summary statistics
 - 5. effect of a reform
- 5. conclusions

KU LEUVEN FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax

4. results

- 1. taxes paid
- 2. income after tax
- 3. ATR
- 4. summary statistics
- 5. effect of a reform
- 5. conclusions

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

KU LEUVEN FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax

4. results

- 1. taxes paid
- 2. income after tax

3. ATR

- 4. summary statistics
- 5. effect of a reform

5. conclusions

10.00 5.00 0.00 -5.00 -10.00 -15.00 -20.00 professional expenses (1) - (2) other detail (2) - (3) -25.00 completeness (3) - (4) modelling differences (4) - (5) -30.00 total less than more than between 0 between between between between between zero and 25k 25k and 50k50k and 75k 75k and 100k and 125k and 150k zero 100k 125k 150k

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

KU LEUVEN

FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

4.3 decomposition – average tax rate by income class

4.3 decomposition – average tax rate by deciles

KU LEUVEN FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

- 1. introduction
- 2. methodology
- 3. data and the Belgian personal income tax

4. results

- 1. taxes paid
- 2. income after tax
- 3. ATR
- 4. summary statistics
- 5. effect of a reform
- 5. conclusions

other detail (2) - (3)

completeness (3) - (4)

modelling differences (4) - (5)

	Gini pre-tax	Gini after-tax	prograccivity
	income	income	progressivity
Fantasi - IPCAL (1)	46,98	43,07	17,89
Fantasi - IPCAL w.o. prof. exp. (2)	46,98	41,38	20,89
Fantasi - IPCAL with SILC-detail (3)	48,75	43,47	15,75
Fantasi - EU-SILC (4)	41,53	36,77	15,09
Euromod - EU-SILC (5)	39,36	32,93	21,87
difference from moving to			
administrative data (1) - (5)	7,62	10,14	-3,98
professional expenses (1) - (2)	0,00	1,69	-3,00

-1,77

7,22

2,17

Source: own calculations based on IPCAL (Statbel) and SILC (Eurostat), and microsimulation models EUROMOD and FANTASI

-2,10

6,71

3,84

5,14

0,66

-6,78

KU LEUVEN FACULTEIT ECONOMIE EN BEDRIJFSWETENSCHAPPEN

- 1. introduction
- 2. data and the Belgian personal income tax
- 3. methodology
- 4. decomposition
- 5. conclusions

5. does benefit of move to admin data justify the cost?

- KU LEUVEN
- yes, ..., admin data capture upper tail of income distribution much better
 - important for revenue estimate, ...
 - ... and for distributional analysis
- it is the detail of admin data which is important
 - for precise tax liabilities (and hence after tax incomes) of upper tail
 - reason: high incomes often complex aggregates, with specific tax treatment and professional expenses
 - => feeding SILC with admin data will *not* help if same level of aggregation is maintained as in current SILC;
 - Initiatives, such as BELMOD, are necessary to capture the full advantages of administrative data
- "completeness" (= both misreporting and population diff.) also important
 - in aggregates like total tax revenues and summary statistics as the Gini

5. does benefit of move to admin data justify the cost?

- also at the bottom: significant differences
 - but less clear whether low incomes in admin correspond to socio-econ reality
 - less relevant for revenue
- results are
 - country specific, since dependent on tax legislation
 - dependent on the statistic used (\rightarrow deciles, fixed classes ...)
- Important advantage of admin data
 - Specific policy question
 - Detail in admin data allows identification of specific groups
 - Especially relevant in shock as Corona-crisis