
I. Evolution of the EU 2020 poverty and social exclusion target13



II. Dashboard of key EU social indicators

Figure 9. At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate (in %), evolution (in pp) 2011-2012 and 2008-12

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	BG	cz	DK	DE	EE		EL	ES	FR	HR	п
2012		24,8	24,8	23,2	21,6	49,3	15,4	19,0	19,6	23,4	29,4	34,6	28,2	19,1	32,3	29,9
2011-2012 change in pp		1	3	~	0,6	3	1	1	*	1	n.a	3,6	0,5	~	*	1,7
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	1,1	1,6	0,8	4,5	*	2,7	*	*	5,7	6,5	3,7	~	na.	4,6
	2	LV	LT	3	문	MT	NL	AT(II)	PL	Ħ	RO	51	SK	R	SE	UK
2012	27,1	36,2	32,5	18,4	32,4	22,2	15,0	18,5	26,7	25,3	41,7	19,6	20,5	17,2	15,6	24,1
2011-2012 change in pp	2,5	-3,9	-0,6	1,6	1,4	0,8	-0,7		*	0,9	1,4	*	1	-0,7	*	1,4
2008-2012 change in pp	3,8	2,0	4,9	2,9	4,2	2,6	~		-3,8	*	-2,5	~	1	~	~	1

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) Latest data available for IE refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income/related indicators and by definition the target indicator suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) The estimations of net significance for PT are provisional and are to be confirmed by INE; vi) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes), using Eurostat computations of significance of net change "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the very low work intensity rate refers to the previous calendar year (i.e. 2011) while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current year (i.e. 2012).

Figure 15. Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap, evolution in pp, 2011-2012 and 2008-12

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	86	CZ	DK	DE	EE		EL	ES	FR	HR	п
2012		23,5	23,4	23,4	18,1	31,4	19,1	22,8	21,1	23,8	17,5	29,9	31,4	16,2	28,8	25,4
2011-2012 change in pp		~	~	~	-0,5	2,0	1,9	1,4	~	-2,2	n.a	3,8	*	~	~	*
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	1,6	2,4	0,9	4,4	~	4,8	-1,1	3,5	~	5,2	7,0	1,4	3,8	2,4
	2	LV	LT	Ξ	HU	MT	NL	AT(ii)	PL	PT	RO	91	SK	R	SE	UK
2012	19,0	28,4	22,6	15,0	21,0	16,0	17,3	20,1	22,2	24,7	30,9	19,1	20,5	15,0	18,6	21,0
2011-2012 change in pp	~	-3,4	-6,4	~	2,7	-1,7	1,8		*	1,5	*	*	-2,3	1,5	*	*
2008-2012 change in pp	3,7	~	-3,1	-1,6	3,7	-4,4	2,4		1,6	1,5	-1,4	~	2,4	~	~	*

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). For the change 2011-2012, Eurostat computations of significance of net change. For the change 2008-2012, a 1pp threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). iii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 17. Evolution of the share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households, 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	88	86	œ	DK	DE	EE	12	EL	ES	FR	HR	п
2012		10,0	9,9	10,4	14,0	12,4	6,8	10,9	9,8	9,0	24,1	14,1	14,2	8,4	16,1	10,3
2011-2012 change in pp		*	*	*	0,3	1,4	*	*	-1,3	-0,9	n.a	2,3	0,9	-0,9	*	~
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	*	1,2	2,3	4,3	*	2,6	-1,8	3,7	10,5	6,7	7,6	1	n.a.	~
	2	LV	LT	E	H	MIT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	51	SX	F	SE	UK
2012	6,4	11,5	11,3	6,1	12,7	7,9	8,7	7,6	6,8	10,1	7,4	7,5	7,2	9,1	5,7	13,0
2011-2012 change in pp	1,5	-1,1	-1,3	~	1	~	~	*	~	1,9	0,7	*	~	-0,7	-1,2	1,5
2008-2012 change in pp	1,9	6,4	6,2	1,4	1	~	~	*	-1,1	3,8	1	~	2,0	1,8	~	2,6

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refer to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) There is breaks in series for UK for 2012 so evolutions need to be interpreted with caution. iii) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; iv) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). For the change 2011-2012, Eurostat computations of significance of net change have been used. For the change 2008-2012, a 1pp threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). v) The (quasi-) jobless households rate refers to the previous calendar year (i.e. 2011).

Figure 18. Evolution of the share of working poor, 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	BG	œ	DK	DE	EE	12	EL	ES	FR	HR	п
2012		9,1	9,1	8,9	4,5	7,4	4,6	5,7	7,7	8,5	5,3	15,1	12,3	8,0	6,2	11,1
2011-2012 change in pp		ł	ł	*	0,4	-0,8	0,5	-0,6	*	~	n.a	3,2	0,2	0,4	-0,7	~
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	*	*	-0,2	~	1,0	1	~	1,1	-1,0	~	1,2	1,4	n.a.	2,1
	2	LV	lT.	Ξ	Ð	ME	NL	AT (II)	PL	P	RO	SI	SK	E	SE	UK
2012	8,0	9,1	7,7	10,3	5,3	5,7	4,6	8,2	10,4	9,9	18,9	6,5	6,2	3,8	6,7	8,8
2011-2012 change in pp	0,7	-0,5	-1,9	*	-0,8	~	-0,8		-0,8	-0,3	0,3	0,5	*	1	*	1,0
2008-2012 change in pp	1,7	-2,1	-1,8	*	1	*	~		-1,1	-1,4	2,1	1,4	~	-1,3	1	~

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious;;iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). For the change 2011-2012, Eurostat computations of significance of net change have been used. For the change 2008-2012, a 1pp threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 19. Evolution of the share of children (0-17) at risk of poverty or social exclusion between 2008, 2011 and 2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	86	æ	DK	DE	EE	E	EL	ES	FR	HR	П
2012		28,1	28,0	25,5	23,4	52,3	18,8	15,3	18,4	22,4	34,1	35,4	33,8	23,2	33,8	33,8
2011-2012 change in pp		1	~	*	0,1	*	-1,2	2	-1,5	-2,4	n.a	5,0	0,6	2	~	1,6
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	1,4	1,7	2,1	8,1	*	2,6	-1,7	3,0	7,5	6,7	3,2	1,8	n.a.	4,7
	2	LV	LT	Ξ	HU	MT	NL	AT (II)	PL	PT	RO	SI	SX	FI	SE	UK
2012	27,5	40,5	31,9	24.6	40.0	20.7										
		40,0	31,3	24,6	40,9	29,7	16,9	20,9	29,3	27,8	52,2	16,4	26,6	14,9	15,4	31,2
2011-2012 change in pp	4,1	4,1	-2,7	2,9	40,9	3,9	-1,1	20,9	29,3	27,8 -0,8	52,2 3,1	-0,9	26,6	14,9	15,4	31,2 4,3

Source: Furnstat (FU-SILC):

Notes: i) Latest data available for IE refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income-related indicators and, by definition, the target indicator suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). For the change 2011-2012, Eurostat computations of significance of net change have been used. For the change 2008-2012, a 1pp threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the very low work intensity rate refers to the previous calendar year (i.e. 2011) while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current year (i.e. 2012).

Figure 23. Income quintile ratio (S80/S20), evolution 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	2	U	DK	DE	EE	•	EL	23	FR	HR	н
2012		5,0	5,0	5,1	3,9	6,1	3,5	4,5	4,3	5,4	4,6	6,6	7,2	4,5	5,4	5,6
2011-2012 % change		*	*	*	0,0	-6,2	*	*	*	1	n.a	10,0	1	~	*	*
2008-2012 % change		n.a.	*	6,3	-4,9	-6,2	*	25,0	-10,4	8,0	*	11,9	26,3	~	20,0	9,8
	2	LV	LT	E	HU	MT	NL	AT(II)	PL	PT	RO	8	SK	R	SE	UK
2012	4,7	6,5	5,3	4,1	4,0	3,9	3,6	4,2	4,9	5,8	6,3	3,4	3,7	3,7	3,7	5,4
2011-2012 % change	9,3	4	-8,6	*	4	4	-5,3		*	1	*	*	1	~	*	*
2008-2012 % change	9,3	-11,0	-10,2	*	11,1	-7,1	-10,0		4	ł	-10,0	4	8,8	~	5,7	ł

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; ;iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). A 5% threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vi) income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 24. At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %), evolutions 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	86	œ	DK	DE	EE	12	EL	ES	FR	HR	п
2012		59,1	59,0	59,6	58,4	71,5	54,6	41,0	68,5	73,0	43,3	56,8	63,6	57,5	62,5	55,4
2011-2012 change in pp		1,3	1,3	*	4,5	-4,2	-3,7	2	*	*	n.a.	*	0,6	3,2	*	~
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	3,1	4,3	3,7	-6,3	*	1	4,3	-9,3	-3,3	16,5	7,4	7,4	n.a.	*
	2	LV	LT	E	ш	MIT	NL	AT (II)	PL	PT	RO	51	SK	F	SE	UK
2012	45,4	70,2	65,2	44,3	61,6	60,3	40,6	53,2	59,2	54,5	48,1	54,8	69,4	59,5	71,7	47,8
2011-2012 change in pp	*	3,2	~	~	5,3	~	~		~	~	1	-3,3	~	1	8,2	~
2008-2012 change in pp	-5,0	-12,8	-1,2	-5,1	13,1	~	~		10,0	1,3	-2,3	*	16,3	3,2	20,3	-15,3

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; ;iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). For the change 2011-2012, Eurostat computations of significance of net change have been used. For the change 2008-2012, a 1pp threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the very low work intensity rate refers to the previous calendar year (i.e. 2011) while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current year (i.e. 2012).

Figure 25. Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) on poverty reduction, 2008, 2011 and 2012³⁸

		EU28	EU27	EA17	88	8	U	DK	DE	EE	•	a	23	FR	HR	п
2012		34,4	34,7	32,0	46,2	18,1	45,5	53,7	33,7	29,4	61,6	13,8	25,0	40,8	32,6	20,5
2011-2012 % change		2	*	~	1,2	*	*	2	*	*	n.a	*	*	2	*	*
2008-2012 % change		n.a.	*	~	0,6	*	-9,5	1	*	8,4	7,2	*	7,5	-5,2	*	~
	2	LV	LT	Ξ	H	MT	NL	AT (II)	PL	PT	RO	51	SK	E	SE	X
2012	37,4	25,7	34,5	47,9	48,3	36,2	51,0	67,4	25,3	29,0	19,3	46,4	34,0	50,9	48,0	49,2
2011-2012 % change	*	1	*	~	1	*	~		*	~	1	*	~	1	*	*
2008-2012 % change	6,9	10,4	8,0	~	-10,9	~	~		-7,3	~	1	*	-6,8	1	-9,2	13,9

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; ;iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). A 5% threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 28. Employment rate of older workers (55-64), evolution 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2012		48,8	48,9	48,7	39,5	45,7	49,3	60,8	61,5	60,6	49,3	36,4	43,9	44,5	36,7	40,4
2011-2012 change in pp		1,5	1,5	1,6	*	1,1	1,6	1,3	1,6	3,4	1	ů	1	3	1	2,5
2008-2012 change in pp		3,3	3,3	4,4	5	1	1,7	2,4	7,8	-1,8	-4,4	-6,4	-1,7	6,3	1	6
	2	LV	LT	W	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2012	50,7	52,8	51,7	41	36,9	33,6	58,6	43,1	38,7	46,5	41,4	32,9	43,1	58,2	73	58,1
2011-2012 change in pp	-4,1	2,3	1,5	1,7	1,1	1,8	2,5	1,6	1,8	-1,4	1,4	1,7	1,8	1,2	1	1,4
2008-2012 change in pp	-4,1	-6,6	-1,4	6,9	5,5	4,3	5,6	2,1	7,1	-4,3	-1,7	7	3,9	1,7	2,9	~

Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Note: Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes) with a 1pp threshold. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change).

Figure 29. At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate for the elderly (65+), evolution 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	88	86	Œ	DK	DE	EE		EL	ES	FR	HR	п
2012		19,3	19,2	17,4	19,5	59,1	10,8	14,6	15,8	21,8	13,8	23,5	16,6	11,1	33,2	25,2
2011-2012 change in pp		*	*	*	-2,1	-2,0	*	-2,0	~	4,8	n.a	-5,8	-4,3	-0,4	-1,9	*
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	-4,1	-2,6	-3,4	-6,4	-1,7	4,0	~	-19,1	-8,7	-4,6	-11,1	-2,8	n.e.	*
	2	LV	LT	2	HU	ME	NL	AT (II)	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2012	33,4	34,0	35,7	6,1	20,6	21,9	6,2	16,2	23,4	22,1	35,7	22,8	16,3	19,5	17,7	16,9
2011-2012 change in pp	-6,4	3	4,8	1,4	2,6	~	-0,7		-1,3	-2,4	0,4	-1,4	1,8	1	4	-5,8
2008-2012 change in pp	-15,9	-24,1	-2,4	8	3,1	-4,5	-3,5		~	-5,6	-13,5	-1,6	-5,6	-4,4	2,4	-11,6

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income related indicators and by definition the target indicator suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). For the change 2011-2012, Eurostat computations of significance of net change have been used. For the change 2008-2012, a 1pp threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the very low work intensity rate refers to the previous calendar year (i.e. 2011) while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current year (i.e. 2012).

Figure 30. Median relative income ratio for the elderly, 2008, 2011 and 2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	88	86	cz	DK	DE	EE	-	EL	B	FR	HR	П
2012		0,92	0,92	0,92	0,74	0,74	0,84	0,75	88,0	0,72	0,86	1,01	0,93	1,00	0,86	0,95
2011-2012 % change		*	*	*	0,0	*	*	*	*	2	n.a	24,7	8,1	*	*	2
2008-2012 % change		n.a.	8,2	5,7	0,0	12,1	6,3	7,1	~	16,1	16,2	17,A	17,7	~	14,7	8,0
	2	LV	LT	3	문	MT	NL	AT(II)	틷	PT	RO	51	SK	E	SE	UK
2012	0,70	0,80	0,78	1,10	0,97	0,80	0,90	0,93	0,95	0,92	1,01	0,87	0,81	0,78	0,78	0,89
2011-2012 % change	*	-7,0	-13,3	*	*	4	*		*	5,7	4	*	-5,8	*	*	9,9
2008-2012 % change	18,6	48,1	9,9	13,4	~	9,6	7,1		1	10,8	18,8	*	1	8,3	*	20,3

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; ;iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). A 5% threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance, vi) income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 31. Aggregate replacement ratio, evolutions 2011-2012 and 2008-2012

		EU28	EU27	EA17	BE	BG	Œ	DK	DE	EE		EL	ES	FR	HR	П
2012		0,56	0,56	0,56	0,47	0,42	0,55	0,42	0,47	0,50	0,43	0,52	0,58	0,65	0,36	0,66
2011-2012 % change		*	*	*	6,8	~	*	2	-7,8	-7,A	n.a	15,6	~	2	~	20,0
2008-2012 % change		n.a.	12,0	9,8	4,4	23,5	7,8	1	6,8	11,1	-12,2	26,8	18,4	2	-23,4	29,4
	2	LV	LT	LU	HU	MI	NL	AT (ii)	PL	PT	RO	51	SK	FI	SE	UK
2012	0,39	0,48	0,45	0,79	0,58	0,49	0,47	0,58	0,58	0,58	0,67	0,47	0,60	0,49	0,56	0,50
2011-2012 % change	*	-9,4	-13,5	6,8	1	~	~		5,5	~	1	~	~	*	~	~
2008-2012 % change	18,2	60,0	~	36,2	1	16,7	9,3		~	13,7	36,7	6,8	11,1	*	-9,7	16,3

Notes: i) Latest data available for Ireland refers to 2011 and changes are presented for the period 2008-2011 only. ii) AT has changed the source for income from survey to administrative data. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series for 2012 and are therefore not comparable to 2011 and 2008. AT will be able to provide a comprehensive back-calculation of the timeline until the base year 2008 at the end of 2014; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious; ;iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions; v) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). A 5% threshold has been used. "~" refers to stable performance, vi) income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2011) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 34. Self-reported unmet need for medical care⁴⁰, in %, changes 2010-2011 and 2008-2011


		EU28	EU27	EA17	髊	86	CZ	DK	DE	EE	E	EL	ES	FR	HR	П
2012		3,4	3,4	n.a.	1,7	8,2	1,0	1,2	1,6	8,3	2,2	8,0	0,7	2,2	3,6	5,6
2011-2012 change in pp		~	~	n.a.	0,2	-1,6	*	*	*	1,0	n.a	*	1	*	-1,5	*
2008-2012 change in pp		n.a.	~	n.a.	1,2	-7,1	*	*	*	1,0	*	2,6	1	*	n.a.	*
	2	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	10	51	SK	R	SE	UK
2012	3,5	12,3	2,3	0,7	2,8	1,1	0,5	0,3	9,0	3,3	10,7	0,1	2,2	4,6	1,3	1,4
2011-2012 change in pp	~	-3,8	~	*	~	*	*	*	1,1	1,9	-1,2	*	*	*	*	*
2008-2012 change in pp	~	2,6	-3,2	*	4	4	*	*	3,0	2,2	~	*	1	3,8	-1,1	~

Source: Eurostat

Note: Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes) with a 1pp threshold. "~" stands for stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change).

III. Social Trends to watch


Figure 79. Social trends to watch and areas of improvement for the period 2011-2012



Source: Social Protection Performance Monitor

Note: i) no 2012 data for IE so IE has not been considered for these evolutions., ii) for 2012 SILC-data AT changed the source for income from survey to administrative. As a result, income related indicators suffer a break in series and are therefore not comparable to 2011 for this country and AT has not been considered in the trends to watch for these indicators, iii)) For UK, changes in the SILC survey vehicle and institution might have affected the results and interpretation of data must therefore be particularly cautious but evolution for UK for all SILC indicators has been considered, iv) Provisional data for BE does not allow for reliable assessment of evolutions, so BE has not been considered in the assessment of the trends to watch.

Figure 80. Social trends to watch and areas of improvement for the period 2008-2012



Source: Social Protection Performance Monitor